



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/645,388

08/21/2003

Edward James Norris

03-8005

8100

25537 7590 10/05/2009

VERIZON
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP
1320 North Court House Road
9th Floor
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909

EXAMINER

PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/05/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/645,388	Applicant(s) NORRIS, EDWARD JAMES	
	Examiner Chandahas Patel	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-11, 16, 18-28, 33-43, 48-50 and 52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-11, 16, 18-28, 33-43, 48-50, 52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ocepek does not teach the device is a wireless device. However, examiner disagrees. Ocepek teaches the features of the claims as applied to a wired device. One of ordinary skilled in the art can look at WAP of Fig. 1 and apply the method to a wireless device. Applicant argues that Gray does not teach searching the identifiers. However, examiner disagrees. Gray teaches searching the Organizationally Unique Identifier (OUI) database at Col. 14, lines 12-25. Applicant further argues that Jennings does not teach a predetermined percentage of identifiers are searched first. However, examiner disagrees. Jennings teaches most frequently used MAC addresses are moved to the start of the linked lists. This way the entries at the beginning of the list comprises the most frequently used addresses. The entries that are first comprise the more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of entries that are searched first before the less encountered entries are searched.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 2-5, 7, 10, 11, 16, 18-22, 24, 27, 28, 33-37, 39, 42, 43, 48-50, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN 6,580,712).

Regarding claim 2, Ocepek teaches a method for detecting a wireless access device on a network **[Col. 5, lines 14-15]**, the method comprising: storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered

Art Unit: 2416

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

Art Unit: 2416

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claims 3, 20, 35, Ocepek teaches receiving the address with information identifying a source of a packet **[Col. 8, lines 57-59]**.

Regarding claims 4, 21, 36, Ocepek teaches using an organizationally unique identifier as the information identifying the source **[Col. 8, lines 57-59, MAC address' first portion has organizationally unique identifier]**.

Regarding claims 5, 22, 37, Ocepek teaches receiving the address based on passively monitoring the network **[Col. 5, lines 22-26]**.

Regarding claims 7, 24, 39, Ocepek teaches determining whether a first organizationally unique identifier of the address is similar to a second organizationally unique identifier of at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address has an organizational unique identifier in its first portion]**.

Regarding claims 10, 27, 42, Ocepek teaches indicating the wireless access device is not authorized on the network **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, a Null value of MAC address indicates the wireless device is not authorized yet]**.

Regarding claims 11, 28, 43, Ocepek teaches storing the one or more registered addresses, such that the one or more registered addresses are searchable **[Fig. 11, Col. 10, lines 49-51]**.

Regarding claims 16, 33, 48, Jennings teaches storing the stored operating system, such that a more frequently encountered stored operating system is searched before a less frequently encountered stored operating system **[Col. 5, lines 50-52,**

Art Unit: 2416

MAC address can be used to determine operating system which is well-know in the art and applicant discusses this in his specification also and by storing the MAC addresses that are accessed most, the stored operating system will be stored in a such a way also].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 18, Ocepek teaches a system for detecting a wireless access device on a network **[Col. 5, lines 14-15]**, the method comprising: means for storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; means for receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; means for determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; means for comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and

Art Unit: 2416

means for indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems

[Col. 7, lines 43-55].

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; means for searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU**

Art Unit: 2416

stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 19, Ocepek teaches a system for detecting a device on a network **[Abstract]**, said system comprising: at least one memory **[Fig. 7, 102, Col. 7, lines 60-62]** comprising: code that stores one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; code that receives from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; code that determines an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; code that compares the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC**

Art Unit: 2416

address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]; and code that indicates that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55].**

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; code that searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; code that compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25];** code that compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since**

Art Unit: 2416

they are matched to a database]. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 34, Ocepek teaches a computer program product, tangibly embodied in a computer-readable storage medium for detecting a device on a network **[Fig. 7, 102]**, the computer program product comprising: code that stores one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; code that receives from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; code that determines an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; code that

Art Unit: 2416

compares the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and code that indicates that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; code that searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; code that compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; code that compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received

Art Unit: 2416

address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 49, Ocepek teaches a system comprising: a network **[Fig. 7, 12]**; and a processor connected to the network, the processor comprising: means for storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; means for receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; means for determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col.**

Art Unit: 2416

8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]; means for comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application];** and means for indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55].**

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; means for searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of

Art Unit: 2416

one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 50, Ocepek teaches a system comprising: a network **[Fig. 1, 12]**; a first processor interfaced to the network **[Fig. 1, 24, Col. 4, lines 57-60, client device has a processor since it performs the task described in above mentioned lines]**; and a second processor interfaced to the network, wherein the second processor receives one or more packets, with an address, from the network and the first processor **[Fig. 7, 134, Fig. 7 is a diagram of security device 10]**, the second processor: stores

Art Unit: 2416

one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; receives a packet with an address from the first processor via the network **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; determines an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; compares the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to an operating system of the first processor **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and indicates that the first processor corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; compares the first three octets

Art Unit: 2416

of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 52, Ocepek teaches a computer program product, tangibly embodied in a computer-readable storage medium, for detecting a device on a network and containing instructions which, when executed on a processor, perform a method **[Fig. 7, 102]** comprising: storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;

Art Unit: 2416

organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which

Art Unit: 2416

enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

4. Claims 6, 23, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Moran (USPN 6,009,423).

Regarding claims 6, 23, 38, the references teach a method, a system and a computer program product as discussed in rejection of claim 2, 19, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach comparing the address further comprises: determining whether a portion of the address is similar to a portion of at least one of the registered addresses.

Moran teaches comparing based on determination of whether a portion of the address is similar to a portion of at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 4, lines 27-34]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to match only a portion of the address so that only small search tables would have to be searched **[Col. 4, lines 56-67 – Col. 5, lines 1-2]**.

5. Claims 8, 25, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Lausier (USPN 7,174,373).

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claims 8, 25, 40, the references teach a method, a system and a computer program product as discussed in rejection of claim 2, 19, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach determining the operating system at the IP address associated with the address.

Lausier teaches determining the operating system at the IP address associated with the address **[Col. 29, lines 20-25]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the operating system associated with the IP address so that components of IP address can be assigned to a specific server **[Col. 29, lines 43-48]**.

6. Claims 9, 26, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Lausier (USPN 7,174,373) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Tarquini et al. (USPG-PUB 2003/0101353).

Regarding claims 9, 26, 41, the references a method, a system and a computer program product as discussed in rejection of claims 8, 25, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach determining the operating system using an nmap.

Tarquini teaches determining the operating system using an nmap **[Page 7-8, Paragraph 45]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the operating system using nmap so that it could be determined what operating system is a device running [**Page 3, Paragraph 13**].

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrahas Patel whose telephone number is (571)270-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2416

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ricky Ngo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2416

/Chandrabhas Patel/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416